STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss.
COUNTY OF COOK)

BCT

BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL OFFICERS ELECTORAL BOARD FOR THE HEARING AND PASSING UPON OF OBJECTIONS TO NOMINATING PETITIONS FOR THE OFFICE OF ALDERMAN OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO, 15th WARD.

IN RE: OBJECTION OF)
)
FRED C. PAUKSTA)
TO THE NOMINATING PETITION OF)
)
MARLENE C. CARTER)
CANDIDATE FOR THE OFFICE OF ALDERMAN)
OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO, 15th WARD.)

CASE NO. 83-EB-ALD- 50

D E C I S I O N

The duly constituted Municipal Officers Electoral Board, consisting of Michael E. Lavelle, James R. Nolan and Corneal A. Davis, organized by law in response to a Call issued by Michael E. Lavelle, Chairman of said Electoral Board, for the purpose of hearing and passing upon Objections to Nomination Petitions for the Office of Alderman of the City of Chicago, 15th Ward, having convened on January 13, 1983, at 2:00 P.M. in Room 1707, Richard J. Daley Center, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois and having heard and determined the objections to the petition in the above entitled matter, finds that:

1. The said Electoral Board has been legally constituted according to the laws of the State of Illinois;

2. Objections to the nominating petition of the candidate herein were duly filed;

3. A call to the hearing on said objections was duly issued and was served upon the members of the Electoral Board, the objector and the candidate by mail and by personal service as provided by statute;

4. A public hearing was held on these objections commencing January 13, 1983;

5. The following persons, among others, were present at such hearings: the members of the Electoral Board, the objector, ~~proxsex(ox)~~ by counsel; and the candidate, ~~proxsex(ox)~~ by counsel;

6. The Board heard and considered all evidence and arguments tendered by those appearing at the hearing;

7. The Board ordered an examination of the precinct binders to be conducted by its clerks and agents under the Board's direction and supervision, in accordance with the laws of Illinois and the rules of the Board;

8. During examination of the precinct binders, the Board directed all parties to appear and to be present, either personally and/or by their authorized representatives duly appointed and authorized by said parties;

9. The candidate and/or his duly authorized representatives were present during the examination of the binders at all times;

10. The objector and/or his duly authorized representatives were present during the examination of the binders at all times;

11. Examination of the precinct binders was terminated ~~at the request of the objector for the following reasons~~ by direction of the staff.

12. The Board having heard oral argument and considered all evidence hereby finds:

A. _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

B. The number of valid signatures on the nominating petition exceeds ~~(or) falls below~~ the minimum number of signatures required by law to be placed upon the ballot as candidate for election to the Office of Alderman of the City of Chicago, ~~15th~~ Ward.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Objections of _____ FRED V. PAUKSTA, to the Nominating Petition of MARLENE C.CARTE Candidate for election to the Office of Alderman of the City of Chicago, 15th Ward, are hereby ~~sustained (or)~~ overruled in conformity with the findings of Paragraph 12 hereof and the said petition is hereby declared valid ~~(or) not valid~~, and the name of MARLENE C. CARTER, as Candidate for election to the Office of Alderm of the City of Chicago, 15th Ward, shall ~~(or) shall not~~ be printed on the ballot fo the Aldermanic Election to be held on February 22, 1983.

Dated: Chicago Illinois this
20th day of January, 1983

_____
(CHAIRMAN)

_____
(MEMBER)

_____
(MEMBER)