NBCON-WC

BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO AS THE DULY CONSTITUTED ELECTORAL BOARD

Objections of: LISA REED	)
	)
To the Nomination	)
Papers of: STEPHEN A. VILLARREAL	)
	) No. 04-EB-WC-64
Candidate for the office of Ward Committeeman for	)
the 33rd Ward of the City of Chicago, Republican	)
Party	)

FINDINGS AND DECISION

The duly constituted Electoral Board, consisting of Chicago Board of Election Commissioners Langdon D. Neal, Theresa M. Petrone, and Richard A. Cowen, organized by law in response to a Call issued by Langdon D. Neal, Chairman of said Electoral Board, for the purpose of hearing and passing upon objections ("Objections") of LISA REED ("Objector") to the nomination papers ("Nomination Papers") of STEPHEN A. VILLARREAL, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 33rd Ward of the City of Chicago, Republican Party ("Candidate"), having convened on January 5, 2004, at 2:00 p.m., in the City Council Chambers, 121 North LaSalle Street, 2nd Floor, Chicago, Illinois; and having heard and determined the Objections to the Nomination Papers in the above-entitled matter finds that:

1. Objections to the Nomination Papers of the Candidate herein were duly and timely filed.

2. The said Electoral Board has been legally constituted according to the laws of the State of Illinois.

3. A Call to the hearing on said Objections was duly issued by the Chairman of the Electoral Board and served upon the members of the Electoral Board, the Objector and the Candidate, by registered or certified mail and by Sheriff's service, as provided by statute.

4. A public hearing held on these Objections commenced on January 5, 2004 and was continued from time to time.

5. The Electoral Board assigned this matter to Hearing Examiner TERENCE E. FLYNN for further hearings and proceedings.

6. The Objector and the Candidate were directed by the Electoral Board to appear before the Hearing Examiner for a hearing on the date and at the time designated on the Electoral Board's docket. The following persons, among others, were present at such hearing: the Objector, LISA REED, by her attorney; and the Candidate, STEPHEN A. VILLARREAL, by his attorney.

7. The sole objection raised by the Objector's petition is that the Candidate is not a "primary voter" of the Republican Party and neither is he affiliated with the Republican Party as evidenced by his "long-standing and consistent history in voting only in the Primaries of the Democratic Party, and thus the Statement of Candidacy is false and misleading."

8. The Candidate filed a motion to strike the Objector's petition.

9. The Electoral Board finds that:

A. Section 7-10 of the Election Code (10 ILCS 5/7-10) requires that a statement of candidacy shall state that the candidate is a "qualified primary voter" of the party to which the petition relates.

B. The Election Code does not contain a definition of what constitutes a "qualified primary voter." However, Section 3-1.2 of the Code does define a "qualified primary elector" as someone who is registered to vote at the address shown opposite his signature on a petition or was registered to vote at such address when he signed a petition.

C. Section 7-43(d) of the Election Code provides that no person shall be entitled to vote in a primary election if he or she has voted at a primary held under Article 7 of another political party within a period of 23 calendar months next preceding the calendar month in which such primary is held. However, these provisions of Illinois law were struck down as unconstitutional by the United States Supreme Court in *Kusper v. Pontikes*, 414 U.S. 51, 94 S.Ct. 303, 38 L.Ed. 2d 260 (1973).

D. In *Sperling v. County Officers Electoral Board,* 57 Ill.2d 81, 309 N.E.2d 589 (1974), the Illinois Supreme Court considered the impact of *Kusper* upon eligibility to sign nominating petitions and to be a candidate for nomination. The court noted that the language of Section 7-43(d), ruled invalid in *Kusper*, relating to voters, and the language of Section 7-10, relating to signers of nominating petitions, speak in terms of 'qualified primary elector' while the language of section 7--10 as to candidates speaks in terms of 'qualified primary voter.' While noting this disparity, the court held that within the context in which the phrases appear, the disparity is not significant and the phrases have the same meaning. 57 Ill.2d 81 at 83, 309 N.E.2d 589 at 590--91. The court determined that the rationale of *Kusper* was applicable to those provisions of Section 7-10 insofar as it imposed a 2 year restriction upon voters who desired to sign primary

nominating petitions and consequently held the restriction to be invalid. As to the question presented by the 2 year restriction upon changes of party by those who are candidates in primary elections, the court observed that 'We believe that the standards governing party changes by candidates may and should be more restrictive than those relating to voters generally. It seems to us clear that the State's interest in preserving the integrity of the political process will support a reasonable restriction upon party-switching by candidates, . . .' The court concluded, however, that since the statute provided 'substantially similar' restrictions for all 3 categories (i.e. voters, voters who signed primary nominating petitions, and voters who wish to be candidates), the constitutional validity of the restriction on candidates was not severable from the balance of the legislative scheme and consequently fell with the other portions.

E. In *Dooley v. McGillicudy,* 63 Ill.2d 54, 60, 345 N.E.2d 102, 105 (1976), the court held that 'the result in *Kusper* and *Sperling* and the absence of curative legislation was to render inoperable those restrictions upon candidates in a party primary and voters who signed primary petitions concerning those individuals' prior political affiliations.'

10. The Electoral Board finds that as a result of the decisions in *Kusper*, *Sperling* and *Dooley* and further as the result of the legislature's inaction in replacing the unconstitutional statute, there is currently no restriction on a candidate declaring himself a "qualified primary voter" of a political party on his or her statement of candidacy even though he or she may have previously voted in the primary of another party.

11. Therefore, the Electoral Board overrules the Objections. In the absence of valid objections thereto, the Candidate's Nomination Papers are valid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Objections of LISA REED to the Nomination Papers of STEPHEN A. VILLARREAL, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 33rd Ward of the City of Chicago, Republican Party, are hereby OVERRULED and said Nomination Papers are hereby declared VALID and the name of STEPHEN A. VILLARREAL, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 33rd Ward of the City of Chicago, Republican Party, SHALL be printed on the official ballot for the General Primary Election to be held on March 16, 2004.

Dated: Chicago, Illinois, this 20th day of January, 2004.

Langdon D. Neal, Chairman

Theresa M. Petrone, Commissioner

Richard A. Cowen, Commissioner

NOTICE: Pursuant to Section 10-10.1 of the Election Code (10 ILCS 5/10-10.1) a party aggrieved of this decision and seeking judicial review of this decision must file a petition for judicial review with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County within 10 days after the decision of the Electoral Board.